

## Electronic Disclosure – The U.K. Thought Leaders

*Martin Carey*

*Managing Director, Legal Technologies*

Today, having a thorough knowledge of the relevant disclosure rules and guidelines relating to electronic information is necessary to understand the issues involved in the preservation and production of digital evidence. The U.K. electronic disclosure arena has seen significant evolution in this area, including reports relating to the handling of electronic information as published by the Working Party chaired by Mr Justice Cresswell, the Commercial Litigators' Forum and the LiST group. These best practice guidelines make substantial progress in the U.K., as litigators, litigation support, IT staff and corporations strive to gain control of the electronic-explosion.

A summary of each follows:

### **The Cresswell Report**

In October 2004, a Commercial Court Working Party, chaired by Mr Justice Cresswell, produced a key report on electronic disclosure with the goal of making changes to the Commercial Court Guide (the "Guide") by the Commercial and Admiralty Courts (see [http://www.hmcourts-service.gov.uk/docs/electronic\\_disclosure1004.doc](http://www.hmcourts-service.gov.uk/docs/electronic_disclosure1004.doc)). The Guide seeks to clarify the definition of a "document" by including emails, word processing documents, databases, documents stored on servers and backup systems, and metadata. The Guide also requires parties to exchange information about their electronic systems and the steps they took to search for electronic documents before the first Case Management Conference.

In addition, the Guide clarifies the factors courts will examine in deciding the reasonableness of an electronic document search and recommends the disclosure statement clearly articulates the extent to which a search has/has not been carried out to locate electronically held data.

Janet Lambert, a partner at Barlow Lyde & Gilbert and a member of the Commercial Court Working Party on e-disclosure, notes, "The revised Commercial Court Guide encourages parties to co-operate in relation to e-disclosure. However, this may not stop disputes arising, and the first reported case on e-disclosure may not be far away."

In the light of this report, potential parties to litigation will need to consider carefully how they manage and store their electronic documents and the extent of any search they carry out for disclosure purposes.

### **Commercial Litigators Forum**

The Commercial Litigators' Forum ("CLF") was established in 2002 by a group of representatives from a range of major law firms to encourage the informal discussion of litigation related issues impacting the legal profession. In October 2003, the CLF issued the "Electronic Disclosure Guidelines," (the "Guidelines") a consultation paper addressing electronic disclosure issues (see: [www.commerciallitigatorsforum.com](http://www.commerciallitigatorsforum.com)). The paper was updated in October 2004 with additional discussion items.

Mark Humphries, head of advocacy at Linklaters in London and one of the three co-authors of the CLF Guidelines notes, "We embarked on this initiative because of the need for clarification. The Civil Procedure Rules in the UK give us little practical guidance on how to search for, disclose and inspect electronic documents."

The Guidelines first examine the problems of scope and size presented by electronic documents in commercial litigation. After discussing the definition of a document similar to that of the Cresswell report, the CLF Guidelines propose four classifications of data:

1. Active - data directly accessible on a computer
2. Replicant - automatic temporary files created by a computer
3. Backup - data saved on tape for business recovery purposes
4. Residual - data that has been 'deleted' but is still recoverable from the machine

The topics of searching and exchanging electronic documents are also evaluated with the crucial topic of proportionality being addressed. The appendix section includes very valuable "Technology Questionnaires" to assist parties and counsel in evaluating the organisation's electronic storage architecture, and identifying media which may contain relevant information.

## The LiST Group

The Litigation Support Technology group (LiST) is comprised of litigation support technology professionals from major UK law firms and the government's Court Service (see: [www.listgroup.org](http://www.listgroup.org)). The group states that: "LiST is a think tank of litigation support specialists whose aim is to encourage and develop co-operation and uniformity of approach to the use of technology in civil and criminal litigation and dispute resolution."

In May 2005, LiST published a fifth draft of its "Practice Direction – Use of IT in Civil Proceedings" (the "Direction"). The draft version provides information relating to the use of technology for managing litigation case documents and disclosure exercises. The Direction is aimed to apply to small and large cases alike, encouraging cooperation between the parties and use of practical technology approaches in litigation matters.

## The Future

With the ever-growing recognition of modern technology trends, the legal industry is being compelled to develop more substantial, up-to-date standards for handling digital data. As we seek to develop solid practices for digital data handling, these three reports are breaking new ground. Commonalities amongst the reports include the following significant points:

- The definition of a document for litigation purposes includes electronically created and stored information.
- Early discussion between the parties regarding technical and legal issues relating to electronic document disclosure is essential.
- Litigators should consider technology tools for gathering potentially relevant data, searching and reviewing the document set, producing disclosure documents to the opposing party, and/or presenting evidence in court.

The pioneering work of the Cresswell Working Party, the Commercial Litigators' Forum and the LiST group is, however, the initial stage for the U.K. If the developments in electronic disclosure in America are any indication as to what may lie ahead for the U.K., much reform is in store. In the weeks and months ahead, the U.K. judiciary, law firms and their clients, and technology experts will continue to develop e-disclosure best practices, particularly on the topics of proportionality and costs.

The legal community must now decide how to keep up with these increasing changes, or risk being swept away by them. Legal teams need to have electronic disclosure resources at their disposal, ready for rapid deployment with a combined knowledge base of legal and technical staff, and the right technological solutions to address the issues they will face.

### *Disclaimer*

*This document is neither designed nor intended to provide legal or other professional advice but is intended merely to be a starting point for research and information on the subject of legal technology. While every attempt has been made to ensure accuracy of this information, no responsibility can be accepted for errors or omissions. Recipients of information or services provided by Kroll Ontrack shall maintain full, professional, and direct responsibility to their clients for any information or services rendered by Kroll Ontrack.*